Peer group evaluation

Name of the peer group:

**Report’s review:**

This review should have these sections:

**Summary:**

Summarizes the repair work report compactly. This needs to be only 1-3 sentences, but it demonstrates that you understand the report and, moreover, can summarize it more briefly than the group in their abstract.

**Major comments:**

* + Discuss the group's approach, results, analysis, conclusions, reference, etc.
  + Does the report correctly show the different parts of the repair work?
  + What could have been done differently?
  + What could be done to improve the repair work report?
  + What are the awakened questions of the report?

**Minor comments:**

This section contains comments on style, figures, grammar, etc.

**Comments about the presentation:**

In addition to the report’s review, each opponent should evaluate the presentation of the group that they are opponent for.

* Was the presentation accurately following the title and abstract and stays on topic?
* Was the presentation well structured, following logically and with good transition between the sections?
* Improvement of the presentation
* Were the results like the results of report?
* How was the clarity of speaking and the timing of presentation?
* How about answering questions at the end, were questions answered accurately, clearly, effectively?

**Suggested grade:**

Finally, each opponent should suggest a grade for the written report and oral presentation. These grades should be according to the evaluation matrix of the course.